

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,505	JAHROMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CONNIE P. JOHNSON	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CONNIE P. JOHNSON  (3) Cynthia Kelly  
 (2) Bryan Davidson (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 15-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bae et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0038150 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has amended claims 15 and 16 to include specific amounts of the components in the composition. Further, applicant has added new process claims 33-36. Therefore, a search for new prior art is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 SPE 1795